

Darwinism will determine the “Sustainability” of our Local – and Worldwide – future.

Copyright (for what it's worth) 2010, 3rd Rev., February, 2011
Ronald J. Gardner < rjgardner@hotmail.com >

(This is a Third Revision, representing only a few additional comments and restructuring, with a short bibliography of sources relied upon as explanatory, previously not included in earlier versions).

In the end, Darwin wins, even if he did not plan it this way.

With the problematic circumstances deriving from humanity's massive use and apparent squandering of the world's natural resources, the overburden of (non-)recyclable pollution, and the economic contention, there must be soon a deprivation of some and acquisition by others, caused by careless or well-planned use of either of these important influences upon “life as we know it.”

And with the depletion of nutritional quality of the agricultural soil and many food varieties we eat, among the words, and the terms, “environment(al)” and “organic,” we find also as its necessary companion, “sustainability.” People ought not to worry about this “environmental cause and crises,” for the problem will resolve itself on its own, readily, if not also miserably, enough. It is “Nature's way” of keeping a proper balance, when circumstances get out of balance. This brief and less than factually supported (although plentifully relying upon such facts), and innocently redundant, treatise will address that resolution and how it will happen. There is no avoiding it.

These three terms in particular arise because of the either perceived, promoted, or real problems of local and world pollution, resource deprivation, and abuses of the natural Environment, to the extent that it threatens the existence of some forms of life on earth, and as often, the human race itself. The Environment has Laws to protect it; little good they do. Organic, vaguely and as often inconsistently regulated, is merely an alternative way of raising up a probably less polluted, possibly more nutritious food crop. Neither will survive without Sustainability, yet Sustainability can work with either or both Environment and/or Organic.

Sustainability has in a very short time become a more acceptable term among farmers, and more recently a household word and concept; it addresses far more areas of life than sometimes the promoters of Sustainability themselves know.

The reader is asked to consider that here, it is proposed that there will be only two choices available which will decide the “sustainability” of our local, as well as our worldwide, future access to, and use of, those natural resources, etc.; and that, as necessity requires it, only one shall prevail.

It must go with some saying, that more than a few readers will argue (ultimately unsuccessfully) against this opinion; and yet absent documented proof of critics to the contrary, what is written here is true, as it presents to the reader a little-known yet readily recognizable facet of the world's “sustainable future” — or the lack of it.

When the presently constituted “sustainability” movement proponents are considering the present and future circumstances, let it be recommend here that they must also consider the demographic facts of the past and present (which they do), as will readily lead us to arrive at certain and specific

conclusions as to what can be expected, relevant to that otherwise unknown future. As the Darwinian theories prove, the future belongs not to the industrially productive in all their technologies and conveniences, but only to the humanly fittest, in all their bodily strength and mental commitment.

Everyone knows about Charles Darwin and “Darwinism.” Those theories have had more of an impact upon humanity than the atheists would ever want, more than the intellectuals would think, and more than the religious would ever believe. And everyone remembers the two most popularized main points, even if these are not Darwin’s main points, and even if these points are not believed by the reader as true. These main points considered here are the two well-known basic premises of Darwin’s theories: “natural selection,” and, “survival of the fittest.”

Among the many facets upon the juggernaut of difficulties in deciding “what to do about the environment, and sustainability,” an “ability to sustain,” if we are to be realistic, we must admit certain realities:

First, these are tough economic times, both in America and Western Europe, as also in the Third World countries; money is scarce, and economies are sometimes in a shambles, and by no unavoidable accident or unintended consequence, either. Getting something done in Sustainability will be costly and difficult, but not impossible, yet few of these nations are economically “fit” enough to rise to the occasion and fully commit themselves to resolving this immense environmental problem of a nearly impending doom, obstructed by others who cannot or will not; and here, the “fit, fittest” means “those yet in existence, and strong enough to survive.”

Second, especially in the Western nations, we are enduring a literal “changing cultural face” of the global populations. Or perhaps it more rightly should be described as a “changing racial face,” which more accurately describes it. The theory of “natural selection” would apply here, since — Darwin or not, and all welfare benefits tossed aside — this world has no place for the stupid, the ignorant, nor the weak and the unfit. “Nature,” in its cold heart, bent upon its own “global” survival, “selects” only those beings, human or otherwise, whose ability and will to survive is greater than those who have not or doubt it.

Strictly following the “selection of the fittest” theory (as life always does), there will soon be not merely an increasing list of endangered animals, birds, fishes, but an entire people added to that list, who could be also plainly described as an “endangered species.” Not “fit” for survival, and mis-applying their “selections,” that particular endangered human species has already determined their “fitness” for the future: yet here, they are gone.

Here is the Ultimate in “sustainability”: those who are not fit, and/or wrongly select, cannot sustain, and so will not survive. “It’s just that simple.”

This event also will be the first time in all human history where an entire people, as one of the three basic races of mankind, and a people of such importance and impact upon the world, has failed of its own continuing existence, caused by their own lack of doing, accomplished in a direct offense of those often objectionable Darwinian-styled “laws of nature.” And when this first race fails, the others are not far behind in their own demise, and few of any will remain.

Although, absent the facts, no one would admit that an entire race of people is at immediate risk, nor that the loss of any race of people would be of any significant influence, yet it is true. Denials arise:

‘A tadpole or little fish, a small bird or butterfly, will admittedly be an endangered species,’ one would believe, ‘but surely not an entire race of people.’ And even when accompanied with those facts proving the risk if not also probability of racial extinction, a continuing, fervent denial of the impending event would persist, particularly among those very people who are at risk. And these doubters, these deniers, are the ones most needing to resolve the “sustainability” challenges of survival of themselves. Without humanity, the animals and fishes and all nature will take care of themselves readily enough.

As these two points — fitness and selection — relate to any plans for a “sustainable future” as modernly comprehended, the following facts must dictate our decisions, not allowing impassioned ignorant emotion, or baseless prognostications, or happy-talk or heavy-hand politics, to decide the matter for us.

Knowing as many of us believe we do, that the future of our essential natural resources is limited, there is an equally limited, more depleted, and yet less known, resource of an essential people, who have sufficient intellect to successfully do something about the many problems the world is facing, problems which not every kind of people, culture, or race can resolve; yet such people are the only ones capable of addressing problems the “sustainability” and “environmental” movement is designed to overcome.

It is often stated essentially that if the more civilized nations are to continue in their patterned ways as they are presently constituted, then the problems that these ‘ways’ create — and which are now compelling the “sustainability” movement to act — will obviously get worse. These complex and convolutedly inter-related problems are not to be solved by simple minds of an inferior intellect; that much is plain. We in the Western world did not cause all of these problems complained of lately by many, but we have created the “tools,” and enabled others, that cause much of it; and we have allowed this entire adversity to go unabated too far long to find easy and quick answers deriving from a people who had nothing to do with the creation of the problem, and who have no ability to remedy the situation that afflicts us and them.

Yet if in essence it can be said, first, That it was only the “superior intellect” of the Western Civilizations which created the inventions which then caused the problem resulting in this environmental and sustainability problem; and second, That the peoples of a lesser intellect merely joined the superior people in the use or abuse of the inventions of that people of superior intellect, and added their fair share of afflictions to the problems; then it must be also concluded that this same superior mind can also arrive at workable solutions to remedy those problems which that superior intellect created. However, if that “superior intellect” declines to consider, or does not offer, nor act, towards such remedies, then the “lesser intellect” peoples in their own racial self-defense and existence will be compelled do it, although admittedly in a less than perfect manner, perhaps even disastrously. This, we as the higher intellect must avoid.

Therefore, solving the “sustainability” and “environmental” problem will require one of two responses from those willing to attempt to solve the problem, whether of superior or inferior intellect; each of whom could (somewhat) solve the problem, yet both, separately or together, may not, at the same time.

//

This problem-solving process will demand either:

A) the intellectually superior peoples of the world (essentially those of the Western Civilizations) will solve the problems which that same Civilization in part created; or upon failing,

B) Western Civilization, its un-remedied creations being destructive of the ends of humanity, must perish, if the remainder of the world's populace, of whatever intellectual capacity, is to survive, if it can survive at all.

And here it is proposed that when considering the facts as set forth below, "B)" will by necessity be the ultimate answer; also that, it will occur sooner than believed; and that, it will be decided not upon opinion, or debate nor research, but upon fact: undeniable yet silent demographic fact. As elsewhere, "everything counts, in large amounts," and here, those large amounts are counted in "people" far more than in "intellect."

Demographics require that for any particular group of people to exist, they must have a birth rate of 2.1 births per woman; essentially, three children per woman; and this is merely a maintenance level, to survive as a race of people, with no increase or decrease in populace. Any birth rate lower than 2.1 provides for an ever-decreasing future populace, continuing in its reduction in a downward slide, and decreasing also that population's ability to berth-in enough of itself in the future to restore the 2.1 rate and maintain its existence at that later time. This is a simple and undeniable demographic fact. Too many births is a burden; too few births is a danger.

Past census statistics in the Western world at large, whether in America or Western Europe, *et al.*, prove easily enough that for every five or six Caucasian (White) people who die, only one Caucasian child is born; whereas the opposite is true for the Negroes (Blacks): for every one Black who dies, 5 or 6 Blacks are born; and for the Hispanics (Brown), for every one Hispanic who dies, 8 Hispanics are born. (Recent statistics suggest Hispanics are 9 now). Muslims are equal in birth rate to the Hispanic. Again we see how too many is a burden; too few, a danger. While others are berthing at 5.0 and 8.0, the Caucasians are a mere 0.4, which is at least 4 to 5 times **lower** than a mere replacement rate. (Here, Orientals, or Asians, burgeoning in their own Eastern world, are not an over-populace in the West, and are not considered; nor is included the obvious overwhelming birth rates of India, China, Africa, etc.). For this treatise, the environmental and sustainability problem-solvers addressed are "local," and "national," in America and Europe.

The above demographic facts for America are proven in *The Statistical Abstract of the United States* (U.S. Gov't Printing Office, Washington D.C., <Various Years>), usually found in Chp. 1., at § "Births Per 1,000 Women," often available at any large public or university library. (Such statistics mentioned here (and there) do not include the destructive acts of inter-racial miscegenation, which destroys both of the previously established, consistently "selected" racial constructs).

In America, in what was a predominately Caucasian-peopled nation, statistics indicate that this year, A.D. 2010, is the 'tipping point' — that demographic dividing line where racial births are concerned — when non-Caucasian births will outnumber the Caucasian births. From now on, the slippery downhill slope towards ever-lower birth rates for Caucasians gets ever steeper; this slide has been happening for many decades, and is presently irreversible by any human effort or method. Except for some obscure group of people, the Caucasian race is the only one found in a population "decline" among the many other races. All others excel, madly.

This birth loss among Caucasians can be compared to a bucket of water with a hole in its bottom, where 5 or 6 cups of water drain out, while only 1 cup is poured in: How long will it take before the bucket of water is empty? How long will it take before the Caucasian race is equally empty, extinct?

To make this problematic situation worse, with each non-birth of any race of people, there is an increasing or exponential number of future non-births, which otherwise would later derive from the nonexistent future's unborn ancestors; this is entirely mathematical. If many generations of "a family of two children" insists upon that continuum, generation after generation, a sample populace of 1,000 people will be a mere 8 people in less than 300 years. Our Caucasian birth rate has been so low, for so many decades, that we cannot recover our former numerically superior Place among mankind; and comparably, we are closer to that "8" than most people think we are.

The constant refrain: Regardless of any peoples' intellectual or racial composition, more births mean a better chance of survival; fewer births mean a greater risk of failure. All efforts at social-engineering, psychological propaganda, and media-hype to the contrary notwithstanding about dangers of "global over-population," the promotion of some purported benefit of a generationally long-term practice of "birth control," and/or a politically or socially acceptable "none, one, or two-child family," which only the Caucasian race considers worthy to apply, means again fewer births and thus greater risk of cultural and racial failure.

And the charts presented in that *Statistical Abstract* reveal large statistical birth numbers for the non-Caucasian people in America and elsewhere, indicating that the non-Caucasian peoples are obviously out-berthing the Caucasian races at every location on earth. At the same time, that *Abstract* shows a "minus sign" (-#) at every Caucasian birth rate, the only race having that sign for many decades past: for these low-birth people, many die, few are born; they can not "sustain" themselves.

Obstructing the opportunity for the superior intellect people to solve these local and worldwide environmental and related problems, and to provide for a cleaner, sustainable circumstance, is this: as Caucasian people, as we help others more than we help ourselves, but we cannot help anyone much longer, not even ourselves. As Stalin's top Soviet bureaucrat and favorite enforcer-executioner, Molotov would say, "They are dead men, talking."

The obvious answer, "bear more children," is not available to us. If every Caucasian woman of childbearing age were to birth-in one child, per woman, per year, for a decade or more, it would not be enough to recover our previously higher-population; that "place" was lost long ago. In a most impracticable scenario, to recover that populace, those Caucasian births would have to be quadruplets and quintuples, at the least, and it is nearly humanly impossible, so this is not to be accomplished. And yet these non-Caucasians are increasingly doing something about their own populations, to their own favor, as the Darwinian "fittest" theory requires, even if their genetic or racial "selection" amongst themselves is not applied accurately.

If such an intellectually superior people were to attempt an obvious and open birth campaign, that declining people's demise would be ever-closer than that, with the contempt and hostility thrown at those weak and emaciated people by the intellectually inferior people, who in great fear believe the diminishing superior ones are either trying to obtain dominance over the other peoples, or that they are risking the inferior's place amongst such advanced technology; or, that they believe that the dying-out superior intellect "deserves no respect"; and those who do not preserve their personal or racial life don't deserve

any respect, either, nor life. “Respect,” among the “people of color,” trumps everything. “Dis” one of them, i.e., dis-respect, dis-honor, dis-regard them, and it brings the wrath of a defensive savage beast. Combined with their primal racial fear of being abandoned by a nearly parental race (although they say they can live well enough without the White race) and facing doom, that anger is multiplied vastly.

Caucasians have been practicing the “none, one, or two children per family” for many generations, not merely for decades. What is their racial future? As it flows now, the Caucasian people cannot expect to exist as a viable race beyond about the Year 2070, and certainly not in any numbers worth counting at the end of this Century. (Remember the bucket of water exemplar, as above; and, the wrath of the abandoned Underman). The common suggestion of demographers, that the White race will be a minority in 2070 does not tell the truth of the matter.

This proven exceedingly low birth rate among Caucasians more than simply suggests there is no hope for a future for the Caucasian race, but worse, it more than suggests that “the days of the White man are numbered”; they will not live long, nor see a peaceful death. And why should they?

The “third world” and “minority” peoples, prolific in their uncontrolled birth rates as they have been, and increasingly, willfully, enjoying those practices that increase the risk of global “environmental and sustainability failure,” are also becoming increasingly aware in a political and social sense of both their own superior population numbers, and also this diminishing Caucasian population. They also recognize, silently, their comparative ignorance and lack of knowledge and lesser ability in these areas of advanced science and technology which they had taken for granted when made available to them. (They can push the button, but do not know how or why it works).

Today, the intellectually superior Caucasian peoples are openly refusing to increase their birth rates, believing they do the world a favor; and they will continue to refuse to do so, immediately and dramatically, their survival, their “sustainability” being a near impossibility, now. Even so, when this superior intellect disappears, and the inferior intellect — and as often the lower propensities accompanying it, shall rule the world and all humanity, in their usual, harsh manner.

For this reason, these statistical and actual birth rates easily reveal who shall be the victor in this “competition for survival,” if not also for domination of the world, in The Americas and elsewhere; and with that, it will be these victors who shall decide the “sustainability” of their own future. This is not so bad, because it follows exactly the principles of Nature, even of the Darwinian theories, which would exist even without the man Darwin or his theories. Survival Of The Fittest and Careful Selection preceded Darwin by millions of years, and will follow after him for equally as long.

Here is how the future will play out, slowly but completely cleaning up the polluted environment and establishing a true “sustainability” of humanity and other living creatures and their varied and separate needs:

Amazing as it may seem, when the creators and inventors of all the highly complex machines, and communication devices, and vehicles, and other helpful yet environmentally troublesome technology, have disappeared or been eradicated from the face of the earth, it may be the lower or inferior intellect of most non-Caucasian peoples that could end up being the “saving grace” of themselves and the remaining natural world of animals, fishes, birds, *et al.*: because they did not invent today the highly technological circumstances which to a great extent has been causing the environmental and other

problems plaguing the world today, they also will not be able to create more of the same technology tomorrow which would continue or add to the problem; they simply are not smart enough to do it. That is only the beginning of the “correction.” It gets better (in a back-handed way).

Even better than not creating more polluting inventions, what technological advances these same people now enjoy will not endure long. With technology and mechanical devices always failing, as technology and mechanical devices always do, fuel expends; things wear out; things fall apart; things break, and all need service, repairs, replacements. Usually, these things would be restored. Instead, it will be a massive technological step backwards for the entire world’s population, yet a small step forward in ecological survival; it will be “sustainability,” with a new face.

None of these lower or inferior, surviving peoples have ever shown the intellect that possesses a sufficient capacity to conceive, design, and produce the machine that made that one of many other different parts that fit into another complex machine that made some part that fit the next machine comprised of so many other different, and co-ordinated, moving, parts that once worked, until it broke down. (That superior intelligence was our Caucasian “blessing,” not theirs, and obtained thousands of years ago from On High; we Caucasians got “The Nod From God,” and they are a beneficiary of our intellectual largess, and our lesser intellectual humans know this simply by instinct; whine as they might, they are not happy when seeing us go).

When all that complex machinery totally quits running, and the power generation stations cease, and piped water fails to flow, it will be of no use, it will compel the former users to either find other ways to provide what that failed machine once gave them, or to do without it. Usually there will not be an alternative. Darkness at sunset becomes as fearful and violent as in the days of ancient-most mankind. Nobody will know what to do about it, or how to fix it, nor how to start it again and keep it all running. The mechanical fate of once useful things is non-functionality, ultimate uselessness, and abandonment, and the people will lament their past and long for its return. But it will not return.

In the earlier days, when civilization was functional and these survivors supposed themselves subjected to the intellectually superior people, they were back then able enough to do as they were told with these complex mechanisms, and to be competently managed to operate them at the same time; without that assistance in that earlier time, and as well in the future time, they had then, and will have, no ability to generate the intellect and apply ability to commence the creative action of such complex machinery from its very beginnings to its production and use.

This regression from technology will require these survivors to seek their ancient ways, the “traditional ways,” of their ancient-most ancestors, assuming they can remember them, which will in itself reduce the environmental problems, proportionately to the abandonment of such technological usage that cause the environmental pollution in the first place. But it will also begin and end in disaster.

These massive structures and machines, created by those now dead-and-gone people of superior intellect, will simply sit there, unworkable, broken-down, and unusable, abandoned where it stopped running. Homes, high-rise buildings and commercial structures, empty and unused for their original purposes, will become merely caves for people to hide in. At the roadside and on the city streets, everywhere, abandoned automobiles, decrepit hulks of silent rust, by the tens of millions; collapsing buildings, by the hundreds of thousands; roads and railroads by thousands of miles, ruined; infrastructures failing, water and utility lines and supplies, all gone, with no one who knows how to run

them. To the few surviving peoples, these failed machines and buildings, complex astronomical observatories, scientific centers, sports centers, would be monuments of the foolishness of a lost and eventually unknown people, forgotten and occasionally wondered about, as we today wonder about the builders of the Pyramid in Geza in Egypt, or the anciently built massive Maya and Inca stone temples in Yucatán or Peru. What is it for, they ask; and how did they make it? And why?

With no social order imposed by the mere presence of the usually settling Caucasian culture, soon inter-racial and inter-cultural conflicts erupt into small scale wars: survival of the fittest. The Superior-man shall fall, the Under-man shall walk.

Somewhere, to get warm or prepare a simple meal, some one will get a fire going, in a big building in a big city, and the fire will consume the room, then the apartment, then the entire building. Fire will go its way on freely, building to building, unopposed: all utilities and fuel supplies being failed, the fire truck cannot go, even if any know how to operate it. If it got there, in the words of the folk song prophet, “the pump won’t work, ‘cause the vandals broke the handle.” Soon a firestorm, and a huge one, will spread far and wide, unstoppable; with the super-heated air rising and drawing into it the colder earth surface air, it becomes ever hotter and more devastating. Entire cities, large and small, will be consumed in a relentless blaze with a venturi effect that literally sucks everything and everyone into its furnace. Teeming multitudes of people packed into the urban jungle will die, more soon starve, as escape, force, and violence become the means of survival.

In the rural areas, the escaping millions will overrun the farms, again taking food and supplies and whatever they need, from field, and barn, and home, with no asking. Local peoples resist, and are destroyed. Eventually, as with any city people, no one knows how to work the soil without mechanical means: urbanized, they have lost the collective memory of the times for planting and harvesting; and few can wait an entire season to eat the harvest. Pests and disease will always be against them.

Yet more flee into the countryside, believing they can hunt for deer and other animals of the forest, competing with millions where a handful once hunted. They will in no time at all eliminate that food source, and after these too are gone, nothing else will exist to sustain them for any length of time. Finally, with nothing remaining to eat, there will be only one life form remaining for food: each other. Cannibalism will become the norm, and only those will survive who are mentally “fit” to willfully hunt down, kill, and hack to pieces other human beings, and eat them. Survival of the Fittest never had such an interpretation in Darwin’s book.

With this and more, civilization as we may know it fails, order and decency evaporates, humanity disappears, and a paltry few surviving bits of purported humanity, the mental and emotional dregs of us, will return to its earliest origins, sans technology. The lower intellect among them shall rule in the manner of the pre-Stone Age cave man. And it will not be the Caucasian man who shall survive; neither shall they be the hunter nor the hunted, for they will have passed from the scene decades prior. This miserable fate is reserved for all those others who survive that intellectually superior yet mentally weak race of people who failed to reproduce their own kind because they were not fit to survive.

With massive human losses, and infrastructure failure, the once heavy demands upon Nature, by that once superior people, to supply the natural resource materials to produce and maintain the now-abandoned mechanical dinosaurs, and the processes that maintained them, will cease; and again, this will

obtain the same result we desire today, even as we exist: the problem of “pollution, global warming, environment, sustainability,” will be solved, and the survivors will live.

And those non-Caucasian peoples eventually will revert to using, not abusing, “nature” in that ancient, primitive way (“primitive,” which I now consider not as “ignorant, backward, lower cultured,” but as “prime-ative, basic, foundational; needing nothing more to function and survive than is absolutely necessary”). Those non-technological ways, where everything had a use and a purpose and a method of cleanly returning to the earth, had sustained their ancient-most ancestors up to the early technological present, and those ancient ways will again sustain their modern descendants into the long tomorrows, relying upon their own innate and aboriginal, “gut feelings,” combined with an instinctiveness presently absent in the modern thought process of the fading Caucasian peoples with their superior intellect which brought their own fate.

This is the undeniable present and assured future. For the failure of the Caucasian race to properly occupy and maintain its Proper Place, and by necessity of that failure, the world will fall into another Dark Age, into a darkness never known to mankind since the beginning of time. Progress in technology always came upon us slowly, sometimes over hundreds of years, and mankind had time to adjust to its advancement. Yet soon, when dropping from such a level of high technological advancement and convenience among nearly all humanity, and falling down to a scrambling for a bare survival, a subsistence in misery and destitution, compelled upon them in such a short timespan, it means a terrible rent comes upon the mind and body of all surviving humanity. Millions will die by any manner thinkable, starvation, violence, disease. And the Cause of it all, alleged by the survivors to be the Caucasian peoples, will be cursed in their graves for generations. They will be correct in their conclusions, and in their acts.

It need not be this way. Somehow, “we” all could turn it around and avoid it. But at this point, where the Caucasian race cannot prompt itself by any means at all to have a true will to survive, it can only be the mighty Hand of God which is strong enough to intervene and save us all; and I myself do not see that Hand moving, nor do we offer Him any good reason to do so, acting as we do, in these rebellious, defiant, ungrateful, and sinful manners, as we have for so long, generation after generation. We as much as deserve what we all are about to get.

The “sustainability” circumstance, as being presently considered by many an awakening people, is now more than a mere popular activity, when solving problem we are facing; it is also, if not more so, a “survive-ability” problem of humanity, present and future, that must be addressed. The entire future of the Caucasian race in particular, with its own sustainability, and thus that of humanity at large, is more endangered than we could believe — the seriousness of it being so well-concealed. Only we are able to “sustain” ourselves the lifestyle to which we have become accustomed; none other can do it for us.

This will be the only “natural” way these problems will be remedied: absent Divine Intervention, it will be accomplished by Darwin’s provable theories of “natural selection for the survival of the fittest”; and therefore, that result will determine the future and the quality of the world’s continuous human life, and that result also will provide for its long-lasting, renewable “sustain-ability,” human kind of any kind, intellect of any mind, not-with-standing.

In the end, Darwin wins, even if he did not plan it this way.

A Brief Bibliography, among many others, upon which I place most of my above conclusions:

Buchanan, Patrick, *Death of the West: How Dying Populations and Immigrant Invasions Imperil our Country and Civilization*, Thomas Dunne Books, New York, 2002

Crook, John A., Professor, Ancient History, Cambridge, *Law and Life of Rome, 90 B.C. — A.D. 212*, Cornell University Press, Ithica, New York, 1967 (Aspects of Greek and Roman Life series, the late H.H. Scullard, General Editor), particularly Chp. II., The Law of Status, Chp. IV., Family and Succession

Demographic Yearbook, 45th Issue, United Nations, New York, 1993, 1995

Gannett, Henry, Chief Geographer of the Geological Survey and of the Tenth and Eleventh Censuses, *The Building of a Nation: The Growth, Present Condition and Resources of the United States with a Forecast of the Future*, Henry T. Thomas, New York, 1894, particularly Chp. 4, Population, Geographic Distribution, Size of Families, p. 86

Grant, Madison, Chairman, New York Zoological Society; American Museum of Natural History; American Geographical Society, *The Passing of the Great Race*, Charles Scribner's Sons. 1919

Lincoln Day, Ph.D., Visiting Fellow in Demographics, Australian National University; and Alice Day, demographics, *Too Many Americans: Tomorrow's Issue*, Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, 1964, and what later became the "zero population" movement (or shall we say, stagnation)

Siegfried, Andre, Professor of Economics, Ecole Libre des Sciences Politiques, Paris, France; economist, French Foreign Office, *America Comes of Age: A French Analysis*, H.H. and Doris Hemming, transl., Harcourt, Brace and Company, New York, 1927, particularly, Part I., The Ethnic Situation: Will America Remain Protestant and Anglo-Saxon?, Chp. VIII., Immigration

Statistical Abstract for the United States, The National Data Book, <Various Years, Editions>, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of Census, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., and, <http://www.census.gov>

The Sourcebook ZIP Code Demographics 2000, InfoUSA, Omaha, Nebraska, 2000 & CACI Marketing Systems, Arlington, Virginia, 15th Ed

Wallace, Robert, D.D., and a Government Minister of Scotland, *A Dissertation on the Numbers of Mankind in Ancient and Modern Times*, Archibald Constable & Co., Edinburgh, 1795; 2nd ed. Constable, Hunter, Park & Hunter, London, 1809 reprinted, August M. Kelly, Publishers, New York, 1969

Wattenberg, Ben, Senior Fellow, American Enterprise Institute, Washington, D.C., delegate, 1984 U.N. World Population Conference, *The Birth Dearth: What Happens When People in Free Countries Don't Have Enough Babies?*, Pharos Books, New York, 1987